


de maximis, inc.

200 Day Hill Road
Suite 200
Windsor, CT 06095
(860) 298-0541
(860) 298-0561 FAX

June 16, 2020

Mr. Christopher Smith
Remedial Project Manager
EPA Region 1
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Mail Code OSRR 07-4
Boston, MA 02109-3912

**Subject: Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site, Concord, Massachusetts
Remedial Design / Remedial Action
Remedial Design Workplan - Response to Initial Comments**

The purpose of this letter is to provide a response to comments to support further discussion. It is our understanding that the comments received from EPA and AECOM are the initial comments on the Remedial Design Work Plan, and more detailed comments will be forthcoming.

For easier reference, each comment received is followed by our response.

We look forward to discussing these responses with you.

Sincerely,



Bruce Thompson

Attachment – Responses to Comments

cc: Garry Waldeck, MassDEP
Settling Defendants
Mark Kelley, PE, Haley & Aldrich, Inc.
Carl Elder, PE, Geosyntec Consultants

Appendix A – Sitewide Soils and Sediments

PDI SSS-1 Soil Characterization

- Appendix should ultimately incorporate the additional figures that were provided to EPA/AECOM showing the prior sampling locations that helped to determine where additional samples are needed.
- With the newly proposed soil/sediment sampling locations, is the thought that what is proposed in this PDI will be the majority of the data that needs to be collected prior to developing excavation plans using some sort of spatial averaging technique such as thiessen polygons? Or are the points proposed viewed more as a first pass with significantly more sampling to be done prior to developing excavation boundaries.
- A general comment is that ultimately whatever is proposed for confirmatory sampling will need to consider how the data will feed into a residual risk assessment.

Response: Additional SSS PDI Figures 1-8 and 1-9 illustrate the proposed initial delineation locations superimposed, respectively, on the RI depleted uranium and PCB data. The proposed sample locations are intended to be the first pass with step-out sampling to be conducted as needed to adequately develop the lateral and vertical limits to the excavation boundaries. The data outside the established excavation limits will then be used with confirmatory sampling data to determine an exposure point concentration (EPC) for use in evaluating residual risks. Details concerning the methods for establishing EPCs and conducting the residual risk assessment will be provided in the Construction Quality Assurance/Quality Control Plan (CQA/QCP).

PDI SSS-2 DU Penetrator Investigation

- Details on how the top 6-inches of soil will be removed and handled need to be provided, whether added to Report or kept separately as an implementation plan.
- There are references to the gamma detector not being able to detect deeper than a few inches – if so, is it still appropriate to remove soil in 6" increments? Or would the ~3-6" interval not be adequately characterized. The plans also state the detector would be held no more than 4" above ground – if removing up to 6" at time, the bottom of that removed “sheet” of soil would have been up to 10" from the detector. Assume implementation plan would address this sort of question.
- Is there a maximum depth for 6-inch lifts proposed or the plan is to continue until no gamma impacts are noted?
- Has use of a portable XRF been considered for use during the PDI investigations? A review of on-line info indicates detection limits similar to that of the NAI detector (30 mg/kg) but with more specificity.

Response: Details of the DU Survey and soil handling will be prepared in an Implementation Plan. The depth of soil to be removed between scans will be refined

based on instrument sensitivity; RSCS will provide details on their instrumentation operation and limitations. Soil screening will continue until no gamma impacts are noted. The use of a portable XRF was considered but that instrument could only be used to quantify uranium in soil samples (i.e., it cannot be used as ground scanning tool like a NAI detector), and since there is not a real time excavation and backfill requirement, there is time to allow for the analytical lab to turn around data for our decisions to backfill or contain the soils.

PDI SSS-3 DU Sub-Slab Investigation

- What is the rationale for the proposed depth (up to ~26 feet) of drilling in these areas, vs. other areas of soil removal where removal below 10 feet bgs is not contemplated. And will there be step out sampling on high hits in these areas extending to these greater depths?
- The concept of the investigations is to look for possible releases from former utilities and cracks in the slab within the building. However, based on construction on top of the former waste handling area, the potential also exists for subsurface contamination present that was left in place or manipulated prior to construction (up to 20 ft below current grade per RI report). Although the response to comments indicated that all borings in building E would be advanced to 20 feet, this did not occur, so there is limited information about contamination levels under the building E slab. Should this be addressed during the sub-slab investigation?
- Is there a concern that once the slab is removed and restored, the former impervious surface could now be subject to precipitation and groundwater infiltration? Should this be evaluated (i.e., consider performing SPLP analyses on high concentration sub-slab samples) or will slab removal be immediately followed by removal of contaminated soil below the slab?

Response: The initial borings proposed through the slab are intended to correspond to locations where utility penetrations and or cracks in the slab were identified during the Building NTCRA. It is unknown if there was significant vertical migration of material or if impacts are confined to the shallow soils. The deeper analysis will allow for us to determine potential migration of compounds of concern (e.g., potential source to groundwater) and to eliminate the need to chase vertical migration throughout the area beneath the slabs or only within limits of utilities and/or cracks in the slab. Up to two additional borings will be conducted within Building E footprint to supplement the one currently shown on the Figures. Updated Figures will be prepared for the revised RDWP.

The concept we expect to explore for slab and soil removal is to conduct slab removal and impacted soil remediation progressively, to avoid exposure of large areas of impacted soil to precipitation. This process would start with removal of a section of the slab cover, then removal of the corresponding slab section, then remediation of that section of soil (to the extent soil remediation is needed). The work would then move to the next section of slab and continue until the entire slab and soil remediation is completed. There may or may not be any backfill needed to achieve reasonable finished grades other than loam and seeding to mitigate for future erosion. Regardless, we will

coordinate finish grading and surface treatments with the Reuse Committee. The recharge areas that the buildings cover is significant, and it may be beneficial to allow for natural recharge to occur within the Building footprints following slab and soil removal. This will be part of the groundwater plume evaluations by Geosyntec.

PDI ISS-4 Cooling Pond, Bog, and Landfill Excavation Evaluations

- What is the purpose of the geophysical/test pit excavation of the septic system? Is the removal of the septic system appurtenances required/anticipated? If the septic system and its components were not to be removed, is there another area of the property where a new system could feasibly be installed for re-use? If not, there would be concerns about asking whoever redevelops the property to deal with potentially contaminated septic system material removal.
- If sheeting is anticipated to be required for the sediment removal in the bog, characterization (soil type and thickness) of the materials underlying the peat will be required to design the sheeting requirements. How deep are the hand probes anticipated to be? Characterization of the underlying materials is also likely to be required in the slope stability modeling. Can any of the transects proposed in the Proposed Slope Stability Investigation Plan shown in Appendix C, Figure 4 be extended to collect deeper data in and beneath the bog?
- It was previously believed that the Cooling Water Pond and sediments are ‘perched’ above the groundwater table, so it’s not clear why potential recontamination of sediment is now a concern. Is the purpose of the evaluation to confirm this is the case under all hydrologic conditions? If so, multiple rounds of measurements should be considered, because the differences in head are potentially seasonal. It should also be noted that existing sediment COCs targeted for removal (PCBs, copper) are not groundwater contaminants.
- There seems to be a limited amount of historic and proposed sampling for sediment in the cooling water pond, outside of the piezometer points proposed to be added. Should sediment samples be collected at these points to further refine the amount of removal required, or is it viewed as unnecessary because of the nature of the material in the pond (i.e., layer of “pond muck” just needs to be removed across the whole thing).

Response: We expect to remove or abandon the existing septic systems as part of the remedy. The Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) is intended to confirm the locations of the septic system components, with some limited test pits to field truth the GPR and to collect some samples for chemical characterization of the soils underlying the leach field. In addition, the GPR and test pits will identify if drums or other debris is buried between the gabion walls north of the Cooling Water Recharge Pond (pond).

Sheeting or other temporary excavation support may be needed on the outboard side of excavations for sediment removal in the sphagnum bog to protect adjacent areas of the bog. Extensive measures would be required to provide drill rig access and protect the bog to obtain deep subsurface information during the PDI. Sediment samples from the bog will be collected during the PDI to depths possible without a rig. The Implementation Plan will provide a series of alternate methods of sediment collection.

Although strength data may not be collected, grain size distribution and correlations with other borings nearby will be made to establish appropriate data if sheeting is needed to temporarily support the sediment excavation. Additional information determined to be necessary for design of temporary excavation support would be obtained during construction when heavy equipment access is established, and protective measures are in place.

The purpose of the piezometers is to evaluate the vertical gradients from the underlying sand to the soft accumulated sediment within the cooling pond. The perched condition may not be the case as there is limited addition of water into the pond under current hydrologic conditions. The seasonal low water condition of the pond will tie into the limits of "Land Under Water" as defined in the Wetlands Protection Act, so seasonal data of groundwater measured in the piezometers and the surface water elevations will be collected and used to develop the footprint of the future pond. It is understood that PCBs and copper are not necessarily compounds associated with groundwater, but they are the drivers for the sediment removal. We expect there is an upward gradient from the underlying sand into the softer sediment within the pond. The chemistry of this underlying sand layer needs to be better understood to make sure there are not compounds of concern in groundwater that could preferentially sorb onto newly placed organic soil that may become the new sediment benthic layer of the pond.

The sampling of the existing sediment will be expanded if the depth of the soft sediment is far greater than the amount necessary to be removed based on the chemical testing data. From a constructability perspective, it is anticipated that all the soft sediment will be removed across the entire footprint and not surgically remove only a portion of the soft sediment.

PDI SSS-5 Barrow Source Eval

- No initial comments

Response: There are some existing monitoring wells that are critical to the long-term monitoring and future monitoring of the ISS by Geosyntec so the limits of potential borrow material excavation will need to be updated to reflect these well locations. The general intent of the borrow source investigations will not be changed, but actual locations of borings may be adjusted if excavation of soils is not feasible if the network of wells is needed according to Geosyntec.

Appendix B – ISS PDI

PDI ISS-1 Sitewide Groundwater Monitoring

- Are results for metals total or dissolved? Concern about increasing concentration of natural U at shallow bedrock well ML-3-3 (barcad) at a concentration of 28 ug/L, higher than historically seen and approaching clean-up criteria. Presence of 1,4-dioxane indicates site related.

Response:

Total concentrations for metals are discussed in text and presented on tables and figures. For clarity, Table 2-3 has been revised to present results for metals (total and dissolved).

Although, the November 2019 detection at ML-3-3 is the highest reported for this well and the concentration is near the MCL, concentrations have been highly variable at this well and the 2019 detection is just slightly above the historical maxima for this well (26 ug/L) reported for the sample collected on 1 September 1999.

- Plume outline and iso-concentration lines under building for DU in overburden are heavily inferred and doesn't explain the DU at MW-SD01. How will RA injection locations be determined (i.e., more accurate 30 ug/L iso-concentration outline)?

Response:

The inferred DU isoconcentration contours are based on the most recent available groundwater data from November 2019. The DU concentration at MW-SD01 has fluctuated since the RI and may represent a stringer of elevated DU that has migrated south of MW-S06. However, the U concentration at MW-SD01 has not exceeded the MCL of 30 ug/L during 15 years of monitoring; therefore, this well is outside the area where ISS injections are likely to be considered for the RA. The concentrations detected at MW-S06 and MW-SD06 further bound the U plume downgradient of the former building.

Collection of groundwater data from beneath the former building is suggested in several EPA comments, so a well couplet will be installed through the former building slab west of MW-8A. This couplet would better define the DU plume beneath the former building and determine if the DU plume may be migrating south of MW-S02 and MW-SD02 toward MW-SD01. The proposed wells are shown on attached revised Figures 2-5 and 2-6, which show, respectively, plan view and cross-section location details.

This couplet will be constructed like MW-S02 and MW-SD02 with a well screened in deep overburden and a well screened across the water table. These wells they may be helpful for the ISS pilot test in overburden as an additional monitoring couplet. However, these wells are likely to be destroyed when slabs are removed so they are unlikely to serve as long-terms wells at the site. As mentioned in the RDWP, continuing monitoring is planned at MW-S/SD02 and MW-S/SD06.

- The results of MW-S02 are discussed, citing historical results and claiming there is a significant decline in concentrations. But a review of the historical data

shows results have fluctuated widely, without a clear trend. So it appears more data will need to be collected before definitively stating concentrations have declined. Is there a conceptual reason for why the results of this well have fluctuated so widely?

Response:

Concentrations have indeed been variable over time and MW-S02/SD02 will continue to be sampled. We are unsure as to the reason for this variability except to say that there is some variability at MW-8A where, there was a significant drop in DU concentration from 2013 to 2017, which was also observed at MW-S02. As noted above, an additional well couplet beneath the building is also proposed.

- Figure 2-5 is useful for looking at historical DU concentrations in overburden wells and comparing them to current ones. Please provide a similar figure for uranium in bedrock.

Response:

In the past, a figure has been presented with the historical U concentrations in bedrock wells. Figure 2-7.1 has been updated with historical and recent bedrock data and is attached. During preparation of this figure, the November 2019 data were revisited, and we felt that it would be appropriate to expand the 70 µg/L U contour eastward in the area near GZW-10-2, MW-BS-13, and MW-BS03. Revised RDWP Figure 6b, Appendix B Figures 2-7, 3-3, and 3-4; RDWP Appendix D Figures 6, 7, and 9 incorporate this change, and are attached.

- A discussion of why uranium concentrations appear to be attenuating (i.e., biological activity) would be helpful, and if it has any implications on the remedy selection. It is notable that 1,4-D concentrations have not declined while uranium in bedrock has declined significantly.

Response:

As documented in Section 5.2.2.1 of the RI Report, it was hypothesized that solubilization of uranium bearing minerals in bedrock occurred as a result of altered bedrock groundwater geochemistry due to impacts from the Holding Basin. It may be that the decrease in U concentrations in bedrock is due to 1) removal of historical mechanisms that mobilized bedrock uranium (i.e. natural uranium in bedrock is no longer being released) and 2) dilution by non-impacted groundwater from upgradient areas.

Decreasing U concentrations and the lack of a continuing source has implications for the bedrock remedy which is why we have proposed testing short term pumping as a possible remedy. More specifically, data show that U concentrations in bedrock are attenuating (e.g., wells MW-BM03 and MW-BS03 in the centerline of the plume have shown a >50% decrease in U concentrations since 2013). Also, the maximum U concentration in bedrock is currently only about 70 ppb so another 50% reduction in U concentrations would yield bedrock groundwater near or below the MCL. Given this, we feel that it is prudent to stay openminded to a pumping approach since it may enhance

effective attenuation which is ongoing and the pumping tests proposed as a PDI are needed to provide design information for ISS in bedrock.

- What is the geochemistry of overburden under slab (i.e., more anaerobic than groundwater on both sides of slab)? Are there potential issues on conditions being altered once the slab is removed?

Response:

Table 2-2B provides geochemistry of MW-8A and MW-S02 which are water table wells located immediately upgradient and downgradient from the slab, respectively. These wells both have aerobic and oxidizing groundwater with DO in the 8-9 mg/L range, ORP between 100 and 200 mV. pH of water at these wells is 5.95 to 6.25. These data would indicate that groundwater beneath the slab is aerobic and oxidizing with a pH near 6. Of these wells, MW-S02 is a reflection of groundwater migrating from beneath the slab - groundwater at this well is not, geochemically, very different from water at MW-8A on the upgradient side of the slab so groundwater geochemistry does not change underneath the slab. This is not surprising given the 50-60 feet of the unsaturated zone at the site. As mentioned above, a well couplet beneath the former Building D slab is being proposed.

- Have there been shifts in groundwater flows due to differences in recharge from precipitation since the building was removed and roof drains are no longer directed to the cooling water pond?

Response:

Although the buildings have been removed, the foundation slabs remain in place and an impermeable rubber membrane was installed on top of the slabs. The roof drains, which formerly discharged to the Cooling Pond, have been sealed and the precipitation runs off the slab as sheet flow and infiltrates into the surrounding ground surface, with no preferential direction to the flow. Although, the water levels in the Cooling Pond have been lower (de maximis, personal communication and 19 May 2020 Site visit), the groundwater flow direction and gradients in overburden inferred from the November 2019 data are generally similar to those reported in the RI report.

- Section 2.4.3 references VOCs detected above the cleanup level. What is the plan to meet cleanup levels for these VOCs – continue with 1,4-d extraction approach and hope for attenuation?

Response:

VOCs above clean-up levels exist but are smaller in their distribution and exceedance level compared to 1,4-dioxane. So, like the approach used for the NTCRA, treatment for VOCs is combined with treatment for more widely-distributed 1,4-dioxane with an understanding that capturing 1,4-dioxane will also capture VOCs (and knowing that the advanced oxidation treatment approach used for 1,4-dioxane destruction will also treat VOCs).

The highest VOCs are detected in three wells located upgradient of the Holding Basin HB-10, HB-10S, and HB-11 where PCE ranged from 7.7 to 42 µg/L. In the same three wells TCE ranged from 1.7 to 10 µg/L. TCE was also detected at 7.8 µg/L in a sample collected from MW-T10. Historically, the PCE concentration at HB-10 ranged from 25.5 to 73.1 µg/L with the maximum detected in 2011. At HB-10S, the November 2019 detection of 42 µg/L is the second highest detected compared to 60 µg/L 2005, and at HB-11 the November 2019 detection (7.7 µg/L) is the lowest detection compared to historical results of 8.1 and 22 µg/L detected in 2005. At MW-T10, the November 2019 TCE detection falls within the historical range of between 0.82 µg/L 2005 to 10.1 µg/L in 2013. TCE detections at wells HB-10, HB-10S and HB-11 have historically been below 3 µg/L. In general, VOC concentrations are within 10-fold of the MCL; in comparison, 1,4-dioxane concentrations are several orders of magnitude above the MCL.

Although, PCE and TCE concentrations in some wells exceed the Vapor intrusion Screening Levels (VISL), the depth to water in the wells near the Holding Basin exceeds 30 feet, and at wells MW-T10 and MW-S17 the depth to impacted groundwater is deeper than approximately 55 feet, therefore vapor intrusion does not appear to be a concern. Further, groundwater in the vicinity of higher PCE/TCE concentrations (i.e., near wells HB-10/10S/11) will be encapsulated by the vertical wall and cap as proposed in RDWP - Appendix C Holding Basin Containment.

- Section 2.4.5.1 references other metals detected above the cleanup level. What is the plan to meet cleanup levels for these metals?

Response:

In bedrock, these metals will be removed with uranium as a result of pumping at the proposed bedrock extraction wells. In overburden, treatability studies include analysis for metals to evaluate whether these are sequestered by Apatite II.

PDI ISS-2 Pumping and Rebound for Uranium

- Has the timeframe for evaluating potential rebound been considered? Concerns that what may be a reasonable rebound monitoring period may be too long in terms of coordinating future injections with any other ongoing site work?

Response:

Uranium in bedrock has attenuated since the RI/FS as noted above. Also, the amount of mass representing the U plume in bedrock is very small. The concept of pumping as a pre-design test is to explore if we can pump groundwater (and U from bedrock) – this will also provide an indication about whether it is feasible to pump ISS amendments into bedrock. Thus, pumping from bedrock is an analog to testing the implementability of ISS in bedrock and may have the added benefit of removing enough mass to show that U concentrations can decrease to MCLs reasonably quickly via attenuation. Therefore, there is ISS information to be gained from pumping plus a potential to see if pumping bedrock can be a more straightforward means for achieving project goals.

The timeframe for evaluating potential rebound has been considered, however, we have concluded that we cannot fully evaluate this until we have pumping data. When we have results for bedrock yields and contaminant concentrations over the time of

pumping, we will communicate with the project team to discuss the viability of a pumping alternative, and if needed, a schedule and methods for injection testing will be proposed.

- A general note is that reaching the threshold to determine “yes pumping was effective we don’t need in-situ treatment” may be difficult to define. For example, would need to agree on rebound monitoring period. Also, if an area of bedrock shows a concentration of uranium in the high 20 mg/l range, at what point do we say it is sufficient even though the ROD called for in-situ treatment which would theoretically provide more long term certainty about the effectiveness of the remediation. Thinking about this in the context of forgoing the in-situ bedrock treatment requiring an ESD or ROD amendment—may be a difficult bar to get over.

Response:

As described in comments above, U in bedrock is attenuating and this is expected to continue. Pumping is proposed as a means to test a key component the implementability of an ISS remedy for BR (i.e., the ability to deliver amendments into bedrock) while also removing mass and potentially identifying an alternative approach which achieves project goals more straightforwardly (i.e., pumping or pumping with natural attenuation).

However, we agree that the threshold at which it could be determined whether pumping is effective or not would be difficult to define. As stated above, when we have results for bedrock yields and contaminant concentrations over the time of pumping and after pumping, we will communicate with the project team to discuss the viability of a pumping alternative, and if needed, a schedule and methods for injection testing will be proposed.

- Is there concern about the potential for open boreholes to spread contamination in bedrock?

Response:

Although there is a potential for open borehole flow to spread contamination in bedrock, the vertical hydraulic gradients within bedrock inferred from November 2019 data for well pairs MW-BS03/BM03 and MW-BS15/BM15 indicate upward gradients at these locations located along the centerline of the uranium and 1,4-dioxane plumes. This indicates that there is a low risk of spreading contamination to deeper bedrock.

Open boreholes are recommended to have wells which produce enough yield. The investigation program also includes testing to determine where water bearing fractures are along the borehole. If strong downward gradient are observed in BR wells, then we may propose individual sealed well screens within the borehole or even a solid FLUTE™liner.

PDI ISS-3 Pilot Test in Overburden

- Should injections into till be considered as it is possible source of back diffusion?

Response:

ISS injections are not planned for till because the till is not believed to be a significant source zone or migration pathway for DU. Concentrations of DU in monitoring wells screened in till in the pilot test area are significantly lower than in sand (e.g., 59.8 ug/L in MW-T24 versus 2,675 ug/L in MW-S24). Given the relatively low hydraulic conductivity of the till and how thin till is, ISS treatment is targeted toward the stratified drift units where the majority of DU mass is located and U mass flux is occurring; overburden ISS includes injections into the deep overburden which will sequester U potentially migrating up from till.

- Ultimately, is the vision that the ROI for all injection points would overlap in the area of the overburden where the MCL is exceeded? Or is the idea that some of the overburden with levels exceeding the DU MCL would be left to “flow through” reactive zones and ultimately be treated. Given how long it took DU in overburden to spread across the property, what sort of timeframe would this look like?

Response:

It is likely that treatment of groundwater flowing through the reactive zones will result in decreased DU concentrations downgradient of the reactive zones, and the timeframe for treatment downgradient of the reactive zones will be assessed as part of the pilot test. In each of the two pilot test areas, the performance monitoring well network includes monitoring wells within the injection ROIs and downgradient from the injection ROIs. Spacing of injection points, the degree of ROI overlap and the expected timeframe to achieve the MCL will part of full-scale design and based on DU concentration trends in pilot test monitoring wells along with estimated seepage velocities from hydraulic conductivity and recently hydraulic gradient data (as well as results from tracers injected during the ISS pilot test).

- What about testing injection into the holding basin? Does not appear to be proposed under this PDI or as part of the holding basin PDI. Sufficiently different material it may require its own testing, have significantly different ROI, etc? What about sequencing injections in the HB vs. constructing the containment wall?

Response:

There is significant risk to the liner as well as human health when working in/through the holding basin. Soils from beneath the holding basin will be collected and tested in the laboratory as described in Appendix E of the RDWP, but field pilot testing is not planned for the holding basin. Results of the ISS pilot testing performed immediately outside of the holding basin will be used to design ISS for saturated soils beneath the holding basin. Since the formation is the same beneath and immediately outside the holding basin, and all the sludge emplaced in the Holding Basin has been removed, we do not recommend incurring the risks and logistical challenges associated with working inside the holding basin when the injectability of ISS amendments into the aquifer can be equivalently tested using pilot-scale injection outside of the holding basin.

ISS Injections will likely be performed before constructing the containment wall to avoid having ISS injections potential damage the wall.

- Pilot test 1 assumes a ‘granular’ reagent and pilot test 2 assumes a ‘soluble’ reagent. Shouldn’t the reagent type for each area be determined by the results of the treatability studies?

Response:

As described in Section 4.3.1 of Appendix B, the reagent(s) for the ISS pilot tests will be selected based on the results of the treatability study (Appendix E). The ISS injection approach presented in the RDWP was developed to provide EPA with a pilot test design for each type of potential reagent (solid versus liquid). If reagents identified by treatability studies warrant a change in the injection approach, then these testing programs will be updated/modified prior to implementation.

PDI ISS-3 Pilot Test in Overburden

- No initial comments/questions

Appendix C – HB PDI

PDI-HB-1 Bedrock and Soil Characterization For Containment Wall Design

- Is there a concern of spreading contamination with the bedrock borings?
- The plan acknowledges portions of the pumphouse infrastructure may need to be removed, but what is the ultimate plan for the pumphouse foundation itself?
- The PDI appears to assume a Hydromill will be used to install the containment wall. Are any other technologies under consideration, and if so, will the boring program provide the necessary data?
- Is the bedrock data proposed to be collected also sufficient for any possible bedrock grouting that would be required to encapsulate the HB material?

Response: The borings proposed are all intended to be advanced with casing and using a drive and wash drilling method above the bedrock. This method will limit the potential to dragging contaminants downward during the drilling process. The rock core will be conducted through the cased hole, and the observation wells or CMTs will be grouted or installed with bentonite to isolate zones and to minimize the migration of contaminants from one lithology to another.

Based on our review of existing foundation details of the pump house and the alignment of the proposed containment wall, it is expected that much of the existing pump house foundation can remain as the wall will contain the majority of the pump house. A shallow portion of the pump house building slab will be removed for the construction of the containment wall. Test pits are proposed on the north and south sides of the pump house to confirm the design drawings are accurate and that our proposed limited foundation removal is feasible and appropriate.

The PDI assumes that a hydromill will be used to construct the portion of the wall below bedrock as necessary. It is likely that a clam shell bucket will be used under slurry to excavate the portion of the containment wall above bedrock. It may be more efficient to switch over the tooling from clam shell to hydromill at the top of glacial till, as the till is expected to be very dense with cobbles and boulders that are difficult to excavate with a clam shell. The proposed borings and soil samples being collected are suitable for other construction methods including secant piles or even grouting of discontinuities encountered in the bedrock.

PDI-HB-2 Seismic Evaluation

- No initial comments, might benefit from some sort of presentation on this given the uniqueness.

PDI-HB-3 Bench Scale Testing of Wall Mix Designs

- This section would benefit from additional discussion of why these specific mixes were selected. Are alternative pozzolanic materials and mixtures being considered?

Response: The mix designs will be developed as part of the PDI. A total of five will be developed that meet the strength and hydraulic conductivity parameters to be determined during this data collection phase. It is anticipated that one alternative

admixture to the mix design is Xypex that would reduce the hydraulic conductivity of the concrete significantly.

PDI-HB-4 Characterization of Soils for Cover Design and Slope Stability

- *No initial comments/questions*

PDI-HB-5 Seepage Analysis for Containment Wall Design

- General question – how much effort is it worth spending to determine if containment wall can be advanced only to till vs. bedrock, considering how much of a problem it would be if evaluation determined only till was necessary but it did turn out to be a pathway. Could the design recover from that?

Response: The level of effort to evaluate the containment wall embedment into glacial till is minor once the model is set up. The design is to be a robust design that looks at the containment wall as an effective cutoff to existing and future groundwater flow pathways. The glacial till may be too thin to provide an effective cutoff so depending on the subsurface conditions the evaluation of the wall embedded into the till may be eliminated from the analysis. In the event till is at least 10 ft. thick across the entire wall footprint then the seepage will be evaluated with the toe embedment of the wall within the till strata.

Appendix D – 1,4-D and VOCs in GW

- Two of the proposed open bedrock wells for uranium (BEW-1, BEW2) are not proposed for 1,4-dioxane rebound testing. What is the rationale, given that the 1,4-dioxane exceeds the MCL at both locations? Data viewed as unnecessary? To avoid managing uranium contaminated GW?

Response:

The 1,4-dioxane remedy is intended to provide containment, like the NTCRA. This differs from the U remedy which is to pump bedrock from throughout the plume to remove mass.

Given this difference in goals from pumping, wells for 1,4-dioxane rebound testing are focused on reducing mass in the highest concentration BR. However, samples collected during pumping of BEW-1 and BEW-2 can be analyzed for 1,4-dioxane.

- Is there a need to determine if there is a source or to define the impacts upgradient of MW-BS7-2? This well shows a relatively high concentration and there are no nearby bedrock wells with lower concentrations. Figure 2-7 of appendix B showing the 1,4-dioxane plume indicates the iso-concentration line for the cleanup criteria of 0.46 ug/L has not been defined north and east of this single well.

Response:

MW-BS7-2 is located immediately downgradient of the holding basin which is a source of contamination at the site, so while an upgradient well would be prudent at most site, such a well is not necessary for NMI since the holding basin can be presumed as the source. Also, a well upgradient of MW-BS7-2 would need to be installed through the HB which poses high risk.

Given that the 1,4-dioxane remedy is being design for physical containment within the holding basin and hydraulic containment downgradient, knowing 1,4-dioxane concentrations upgradient of MW-BS7-2 would not change the remedy. Therefore, we do not recommend installing a well which would be a high-risk event when the resulting information would not change the conceptual remedy. If H&A wells around the HB can provide groundwater samples, then these may be analyzed for 1,4-dioxane, but again, the data would not change the pumping design for 1,4-dioxane.

Appendix E – Treatability Study

- General: Has Sandia National Laboratory's hydroxyapatite barrier approach been considered for pilot study testing? An apatite barrier is formed in situ in soil by injection of chelated calcium and phosphate solutions which combine following microbial degradation of the calcium citrate to precipitate hydroxyapatite. It has been demonstrated to be particularly successful in sequestering uranium. IT is a patented technology but may be worth considering.

Response:

This is a good question that deserves a little background. As part of the RI, we had discussions with scientists from the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory – PNNL, located at the Hanford Site. PNNL used a soluble phosphate and calcium amendment to form apatite in-situ. We sent them our data and after reviewing our hydrogeologic regime and groundwater geochemistry, their recommendation was to use solid apatite if it could be injected directly and not rely on a liquid amendment that needs to have an in-situ reaction occur as a precursor to the sequestration reaction. In fact, it was the recommendation of Dr. Dawn Wellman, Division Director at PNNL, that led us to investigate the use of Apatite II from PIMS. Based on conversation with PNNL, we did not consider trying to form apatite in-situ and did not evaluate the chemistry of the reaction with conditions at NMI. So, while the Sandia approach could be feasible at NMI it requires biological and chemical reactions to occur in-situ to form apatite as a precursor to the sequestration reaction of U to apatite. A simpler and more reliable approach is to inject apatite directly as suggested by scientists at PNNL.

We are happy to discuss alternatives after results of the treatability testing are known.

- Why is STPP proposed for bedrock only, why is SMP propose for overburden only?

Response:

The use of STPP for BR and SMP for overburden is based on solubility and reaction timing and hence the ability to distribute the amendments into BR versus overburden. The table on page 2 of Appendix E describes these properties and why each chemical was selected, but in summary STPP is selected for BR because it is more soluble and slower to react so it may better distribute in BR where permeability is lower. In overburden, permeability is higher so distribution should be less challenging and therefore SMP is preferred.

TS-ISS-1 Holding Basin Soils

- The well proposed for low uranium concentration appears to have much lower native metals concentration than typically seen in other wells. Is this considered representative of groundwater in contaminated areas? Another well to consider would be MW-SD01, which appears more similar in composition and has DU of 8 ug/L.

Response:

We understand your concern and are consider alternative wells for low concentration groundwater. We are looking at groundwater geochemistry at several wells to pick this source.

- Does it make sense to evenly mix the amendments in the column? When in reality injections would not result in even mixture across the HB area?

Response:

We are not trying to model an individual fracture in the columns. Rather, we are modeling groundwater flowing through the bulk aquifer with apatite emplaced. As such, the columns will model the average apatite mix as a percent of aquifer materials.

If the goal of the treatability testing was to model a fracture, we cannot do it in a 3-inch column because lenses are horizontal and discontinuous, and lenses will work within the aquifer as a system. If we wanted to attempt to model this, we'd have to create a large "sand box" model to represent the discontinuous lenses within an aquifer.

Creating this type of a physical model is infeasible due to cost and challenges related to accurately representing the aquifer (e.g., depositional layering). Instead, column tests are designed to look at bulk treatment for a percent apatite in soil, and then pilot tests are performed to assess in-situ performance.

- When running the column test in the different influent redox scenarios across the 4 weeks, how do you account for uranium not just having been flushed out during the earlier parts of the column test? Can you accurately compare effluent concentrations in week one to week four, or is it more just to see if there is remobilization, and the exact concentrations aren't important because it is relative?

Response:

The changing redox is to look at remobilization (e.g., will there be an increase in U concentration in effluent after changing redox of influent).

- Is there a threshold for results which would indicate that neither the apatite or ZVI appear to have worked adequately?

Response:

Ideally, we will achieve U under 30 ppb, but this is not necessarily needed in the treatability study. The treatability study looks at percent U reduction for what we feel is an achievable amount of apatite that can be emplaced. This percent reduction for a treatment zone determined in treatability testing will be extrapolated to site needs as part of design to determine extent/amount of treatment zones.

TS-ISS-2 Overburden Groundwater

- Again, does it make sense to evenly mix the amendments in the column tests, or can a ISRZ from an injection be more closely simulated.

Response:

A 3-inch column is too small to model physical characteristics of amendment distribution and the aquifer. Columns are intended to represent the treatment area as a whole and not an individual lens.

- Why are the amendments proposed to be tested only with the most highly contaminated groundwater? Would it not make sense to also test groundwater contaminated at levels closer to the MCL to see if adequate treatment is still achieved?

Response:

ISS is not like a biological reaction where certain mass is needed to support a reaction. As a chemical reaction, ISS is more akin to a GAC system where if treatment achieves goals at higher concentrations it is very likely to work at lower concentration. Nevertheless, field pilot testing is designed to be implemented in higher and lower concentration areas of the overburden U plume to confirm the effectiveness of the technology throughout the overburden plume.

TS-ISS-3 Bedrock Groundwater

- Any ability / opportunity to test bedrock that is collected for potential back diffusion of uranium prior to going through rest of the batch/column protocol?

Response:

The site was impacted decades ago and there is clear evidence of decreasing U concentrations in BR (see also response to prior comment about the mechanisms causing attenuation of U in bedrock). We don't feel that a laboratory back diffusion experiment, which artificially extracts U, would be more insightful regarding attenuation of U at the site than the ongoing record of GW data. Based on groundwater data from the bedrock U plume, we also don't think a release of U from back diffusion is likely.

- Again, is there value in testing less highly contaminated bedrock groundwater closer to the MCL?

Response:

Highly contaminated is a relative term. The highest contaminated BR groundwater is 70 ppb (~2x the MCL). Given the nature of sequestration (i.e., similar to sorption with GAC), if it is effective for groundwater with U closer to 70 ppb then it is very likely to be effective for groundwater >30 ppb.

Appendix J – QAPP

- Worksheets 35 and 36 are listed in the table of contents but not provided in the QAPP, please forward.
- The text states Appendix J-1 is available on the portal, but it does not appear to be.

*no comments/questions on other appendices for the time being.

Response:

The requested worksheets and appendix were provided through Project Portal.

Figure 1-8

Pre-Remedial
Design Investigation

Sitewide
Total Uranium
Maximum Concentration

Nuclear Metals, Inc.
Concord, Massachusetts

URANIUM DATA
POINTS RELATIVE TO
ROD CLEAN-UP
CRITERIA REPRESENT
MAXIMUM RESULT AT
THAT LOCATION

Map Legend:

Areas of Investigation (AOI)

ROD Excavation Boundary

Site Boundary

Soil ROD Cleanup Criteria (2.7 mg/kg)

- > 10x
- > 5x
- > 2x
- > 1x
- < Cleanup Level
- ND

Sediment Cleanup Level (2.7 mg/kg)

- > 10x
- > 5x
- > 2x
- > 1x
- < Cleanup Level
- ND
- PCB Sampling Location
- U Sampling Location

Spatial Projection:

Coordinate System:
MA State Plane Mainland
FIPS Zone: 2001
Units: US Survey Feet
Datum: NAD83

Plot Info:

Project No.: 3243
Plot Date: 6/12/2020
Arc Operator: LDS
Reviewed by: KS/EC




Figure 1-9

Pre-Remedial
Design Investigation

Sitewide
Total PCBs
Maximum Concentration

Nuclear Metals, Inc.
Concord, Massachusetts

PCBs DATA
POINTS RELATIVE TO
ROD CLEAN-UP
CRITERIA REPRESENT
MAXIMUM RESULT AT
THAT LOCATION

Map Legend:

Areas of Investigation (AOI)

ROD Excavation Boundary

Site Boundary

Soil ROD Clean Up Criteria (1.0 mg/kg)

> 50x (TCSA Cleanup Criteria)

> 10x

> 5x

> 2x

> 1x

< Cleanup Level

ND

PCB Sampling Location

U Sampling Location

Sediment ROD Clean Up Criteria (1.0 mg/kg)

> 50x (TCSA Cleanup Criteria)

> 10x

> 5x

> 2x

> 1x

< Cleanup Level

ND

PCB Sampling Location

U Sampling Location

Spatial Projection:

Coordinate System:
MA State Plane Mainland
FIPS Zone: 2001
Units: US Survey Feet
Datum: NAD83

Plot Info:

Project No.: 3243

Plot Date: 6/12/2020

Arc Operator: LDS

Reviewed by: KS/EC



de maximis, inc.




Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				ASSABET-1A 11/18/2019 D		ASSABET-1A (dup) 11/18/2019 D		ASSABET-1A 11/18/2019 T		ASSABET-1A (dup) 11/18/2019 T		ASSABET-2A 11/18/2019 D		ASSABET-2A 11/18/2019 T		GZW-10-2 11/15/2019 D Bedrock		GZW-10-2 11/15/2019 T Bedrock		GZW-11-2 11/14/2019 D Bedrock		GZW-11-2 11/14/2019 T Bedrock		GZW-7-2 11/18/2019 D Bedrock	
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																						
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	26.9	J	< 19.3	U	30	J	275			
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	2.49	J	3.01		< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U		
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.53	J	2.91	J	7.12		7.55		4.02	J		
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	59.2		57.3		59.4		58.1		44.2		43.2		11.1		15.6		11.5		12.6		58.2	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U		
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	36800		35900		37300		36100		29000		27800		102000		106000		23500		23300		92700	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	3.34	J	6.85	J	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	2.08		2.01		2.03		1.97		3.94		3.79		0.526	J	2.28		< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.728	J
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	19.1		18.6		19		19.4		1320	J	660	J	0.684	J	1.07	J	2.78	NJ	< 0.300	UJ	3.89	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	325		304		372		328		249		434		< 33.0	U	61	J	38.7	J	120		682	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	3.66		7.46		< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	1.45	J
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	8660		8340		8680		8450		7690		7330		36300		37100		9990		10100		22200	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	689		655		686		670		101		98.5		282		643		57.6		81.4		402	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	6.71		7		10		10		44.1	J
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	11.4		11.2		11.6		11.2		3.59		3.35		3.27		5.53		< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	1.83	J
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	5120		4910		5120		5030		5190		5070		6110		6200		3330		3350		6530	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U		
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	83200		80400		83400		82100		68100		64600		36200	J	36800	J	13200		13100		105000	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U		
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U		
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.492		0.511		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.314	
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	67.7		70.6		0.71		0.759		44.2	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l													0.0072674		0.0072380						0.0071041	
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U		
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	13.5	J	12.7	J	14.6	J	14.8	J	42	J	20.5	J	37.2		62.2		3.41	J	3.85	J	4.97	

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			GZW-7-2 11/18/2019	GZW-8-2 11/19/2019	GZW-8-2 11/19/2019	ML-1-3 11/15/2019	ML-1-3 11/15/2019	ML-3-3 11/19/2019	ML-3-3 11/19/2019	MW-BM03 11/15/2019	MW-BM03 11/15/2019	MW-BM15 11/19/2019	MW-BM15 11/19/2019
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit										
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	1160		2070		1910		2520		3110	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	6.13	6.28
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	5.84		4.33	J	4.47	J	6.27		13	21.2
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	77.2		50.9		49.2		16.2		19.5	22.9
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	0.287	J	0.229	J	0.246	J	0.335	0.286
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	< 0.300
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	92400		25900		24700		37000		36900	12400
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	4.63	J	< 3.00	U	3.33	J	< 3.00	U	5.56	< 3.00
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	2.27		3.06		3.07		0.37	J	0.516	< 0.300
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	15.4		10.1		10.5		3.13		7.5	1.2
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	3430		3650		4440		1600		2330	1750
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	7.74		4.01		3.58		4.94		6.56	4.65
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	23600		10800		10700		7520		7680	3470
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	552		686		922		108		125	97.9
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	34.2	J	< 1.12	U	< 1.34	U	4.22		4.32	14.9
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	4.96		5.36		3.87		2.16		2.95	3.27
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	7030		6700	J	5990	J	4900		4870	4950
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	< 2.00
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	< 0.300
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	106000		44600	J	39900	J	8130	J	8060	68100
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	< 0.600
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	0.831	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	4.15		5.3	5.38
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	0.334		0.0171	J	< 0.010	U	0.0747		0.0766	0.0555
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	46.9		2.03		0.704		10.3		9.59	7.69
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l	0.0071215		0.0084236				0.0072524		0.0079875	0.0072172
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	9.03	J	5.96	J	6.24	J	5.09	J	6.02	7.38
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	23		85.4		127		< 20.0	U	< 20.0	63.1

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				MW-BS01 11/12/2019		MW-BS01 11/12/2019		MW-BS02 11/12/2019		MW-BS03 11/15/2019		MW-BS04 11/13/2019		MW-BS04 11/13/2019		MW-BS10 11/14/2019		MW-BS10 11/14/2019		MW-BS12 11/15/2019					
				D Bedrock		T Bedrock		D Bedrock		T Bedrock		D Bedrock		T Bedrock		D Bedrock		T Bedrock		D Bedrock					
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																						
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	218	J	144	J	40.3	J	82.8		< 19.3	U	240		< 19.3	U	32.2	J	< 19.3	U	70.7			
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	3.91		3.76		1.07	J	1.26	J	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U		
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 9.59	U	9.39		27		26.3		89.3		89.2		3.24	J	5.04		2.99	J	3.16	J	49.6	
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	22.8		21.4		8.7		9.21		61.8		65		12.2		13.3		5.83		6.83		32	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U		
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	33300	J	29900	J	22400		21400		54300		54300		15400		16000		15800		15700		27900	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U		
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	6.72		7.18		< 0.300	U	0.607	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	0.362	J	< 0.300	U	0.634	J	2.44		< 0.300	U	2.36		0.712	J	2.1		0.331	J	< 2.00	U	1.06	J
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	UJ	< 33.0	UJ	105		290		2610		3290		986		14600		102		239		< 33.0	U
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	1.83	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U		
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	10200		9340		11100	J	9950	J	19800		20500		5460		5710		5920		5950		64.3	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	42.2	J	37.2	J	122		120		978		992		275		497		328		344		< 1.00	U
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	8.09		7.35		3.26		5.58		3.08	J	2.7	J	3.99		4.55		19.1		18.8		9.16	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	10.5		11		12.4		13.1		< 0.600	U	7.56		< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	0.839	J
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	6490		6220		11700	J	10200	J	5750		6000		2110		2140		2500		2520		5570	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U		
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.52	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U								
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	24600		24500		43800		41600		34400		34200		9180		9330		13300		13400		17400	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U		
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U		
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	0.0419	J	0.0396	J	0.154		0.187		0.493		0.502		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.224		0.226		0.0452	J
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	5.68		5.45		21.3		25.8		66.1		69.1		< 0.067	U	0.215		30.6		31.3		6.28	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l	0.0073768		0.0072661		0.0072300		0.0072481		0.0074584		0.0072648					0.0073203		0.0072204		0.0071975		
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U										
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	9.57	J	13.7	J	< 3.30	U	5.58	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U		

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-BS12 11/15/2019	MW-BS13 11/13/2019	MW-BS13 11/13/2019	MW-BS14 11/13/2019	MW-BS14 11/13/2019	MW-BS15 11/18/2019	MW-BS15 11/18/2019	MW-BS17 11/11/2019	MW-BS17 11/11/2019	MW-BS21 11/15/2019	MW-BS21 11/15/2019
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	T Bedrock	D Bedrock									
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit										
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	2240		109		536		33.6	J	22.1	J
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	4.33		1.3	J	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	54		< 2.00	U	2.48	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	37		37.2		54.7		48.5		45.6	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U								
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U								
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	29900		76500		91700		8320		9230	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	5.38	J	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.582	J	0.626	J	10.7	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	1.67	J	0.909	J	2.27		0.503	J	< 2.00	U
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	92.4	J	150		611		< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	0.807	J	3.69		< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	73.3		23400		24500		3070		2940	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	6.34		83		112		6.29		10.5	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	9.69		2.75		2.84		17.1		16.2	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	1.07	J	1.78	J	3.55		2.75		2.68	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	6000		28400		27900		45700		41900	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U								
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U								
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	18700		47500		49000		45900		46300	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U								
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U								
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	0.0466	J	0.423		0.485		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	6.38		56.6		64.8		0.102	J	< 0.200	U
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l	0.0073041		0.0074735		0.0074846				0.0072948	
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	34.6		< 3.30	U	3.66	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	9.36	J	16.9	J	64.9		< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-BS22 11/13/2019	MW-BS22 11/13/2019	MW-BS25 11/15/2019	MW-BS25 (dup) 11/15/2019	MW-BS25 11/15/2019	MW-BS25 (dup) 11/15/2019	MW-BS26 11/19/2019	MW-BS26 11/19/2019	MW-BS28 11/15/2019	MW-BS28 11/15/2019	MW-BS31 11/15/2019
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	D Bedrock	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	D Bedrock	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	T Bedrock	D Bedrock	
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit										
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	22.1	J	29.2	J	839		893		966	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	3.28	J	2.98	J	2.43	J	2.59	J	2.43	J
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	24.5		23.7		542	J	525		472	J
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	50500		49800		289000		280000		266000	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	69.5	J	48.4	J	54.9	J	59.6	J	78.6	J
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	9960		9340		237		287		818	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	< 1.00	U	1.11	J	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	7.62	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	0.541	J	< 1.00	U	3.32		3.28		3.33	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	1.34	J	1.28	J	1.28	J
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	3390		3250		11500		11700		10800	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	8070		7610		27500		27200		25800	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	0.0947		0.0878		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	12.9		12.1		0.324		0.333		0.727	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l	0.0073411		0.0072562							
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				MW-BS31 11/15/2019	MW-BS32 11/12/2019	MW-BS32 11/12/2019	MW-BS34 11/15/2019	MW-BS34 11/15/2019	MW-BS35 11/12/2019	MW-BS35 11/12/2019	MW-BS36 11/15/2019	MW-BS36 11/15/2019	MW-BS37 11/15/2019	MW-BS37 11/15/2019
Sample Date		Fraction	Formation	T Bedrock	D Bedrock									
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit											
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	52.7		377		< 19.3	U	43.3	J	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U									
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	6.52		4.88	J	142		139		
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	36.7		153		158		39.3		40.7		
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U									
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U									
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	47000		108000		107000		14900		15200		
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	9.87	J							
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	1.23		1.55		1.43		1.49		
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	0.313	J	1.55	J	< 0.300	U	0.453	J	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	5690		10100		11100		1340		1440		
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	1.05	J							
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	20800		40600		38300		6450		6300		
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	1270		755		784		551		548		
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	0.3	J	0.991	J	1.03		4.62		4.31		
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 2.08	U	2.94		< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	11600		8140		7540		4150		4090		
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U									
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U									
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	19900		44200		40400		17000		16700		
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U									
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	0.775	J							
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	0.786		0.794		0.103	J	0.153	J	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l											
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	8.69	J							
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	4.56	J	< 3.30	U	11	J	

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				MW-BS38 11/12/2019		MW-BS38 11/12/2019		MW-BS39 11/13/2019		MW-BS39 11/13/2019		MW-BS40 11/11/2019		MW-BS40 11/11/2019		MW-BS46 11/18/2019		MW-BS46 11/18/2019		MW-S27 11/13/2019		SW-2A 11/14/2019		SW-2A 11/14/2019	
	Sample Date	Fraction	Formation	D	Bedrock	T	Bedrock	D	Bedrock	T	Bedrock														
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																						
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	25.3	J	< 19.3	UJ	133		1520		146		432		< 19.3	U	49.2	J	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U		
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	1.14	J	1.38	J	2.09	J														
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.05	J	2.27	J	2.94	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	71		72.7			
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	46.9		45.2		21.1		31.6		118		118		94		94		19.3		2.84	J	3.11	J
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U																
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U																
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	19600		18500		11500		12600		43500		41000		27100		27500		21600		20700		20900	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	3.63	J	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U								
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	2.06		2.31		2.55		< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.454	J
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	0.354	J	< 0.300	U	1.2	J	11.2		2.65		5.08		< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.458	J	0.774	J	< 2.00	U
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	846		871		405		4040		1700		1880		788		841		83.2	J	214		642	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	1.27	J	< 0.500	U	0.671	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	0.518	J
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	7090	J	6370	J	5550		5770		18800	J	16300	J	9660		9840		4990		6240		6350	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	83.7	J	70.7	J	4.39	J	33.9		129		120		93.6		95.7		2.95	J	129		138	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	0.327	J	0.271	J	12.2		12.3		1.3		1.32		0.261	J	0.294	J	0.268	J	9.87		9.48	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	3.13		5.87		< 2.00	U	1.78	J	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	1.32	J	1.14	J	2.4	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	3640		3520		34500		32300		5140		5010		5110		5180		2900		1810		1820	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U																
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U																
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	19300		18000		48800		51200		28300		27900		23400		23600		25100		14400		14500	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U																
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U																
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.014	J	0.0153	J										
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.0670	U	< 0.0670	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.247	U	0.0722	J	0.0772	J	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.200	U	1.91		2.12	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l																		0.0073298		0.0072170		
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	7.96	J	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U		
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	5.56	J	3.51	J	4.28	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	14.6	J

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			EW-1 11/18/2019	EW-1 11/18/2019		GZW-7-1 11/14/2019	GZW-7-1 11/14/2019		GZW-7S 11/13/2019	GZW-7S 11/13/2019		GZW-8-1 11/11/2019	GZW-8-1 11/11/2019		GZW-9-1 11/12/2019	GZW-9-1 11/12/2019		GZW-9-2 11/14/2019							
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	J	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden								
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																						
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	25.6	J	72.1		< 19.3	UJ	25.8	J	< 19.3	U				
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U				
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U				
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	30.2		31		4.84		4.46		4.41		4.12		17.1		16.9		20.6		21		15.6	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	34500		35100		17900		17500		19300		19300		30000		27700		21500		21300		20300	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	3.41		3.41		< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.725	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	4.28			
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	0.324	J	18.5		18		14.1		12.8		0.519	J	0.698	J	0.596	J	0.325	J	0.384	J
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	2360		2400		< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	50.4	J	150		< 33.0	UJ	37	J	501	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	12700		12900		4240		4240		4690		4480		8090		7010		5140		4680		5450	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	386		388		< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	1.13	J	1.88	J	11.8		50.2		1.38	J	1.7	J	1140	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.02	U	< 1.00	U	3.29		3.35		1.16		1.31		0.226	J	< 1.00	U	0.446	J
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	7.13		7.16		0.712	J	0.663	J	0.948	J	0.838	J	< 2.00	U	2.65		< 2.00	U	0.705	J	2.92	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	6340		6470		3450		3280		3230	J	2820	J	3680		3400		2310		2390		3090	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	22800		23000		26200		25200		23000		23900		29100		30300		48300		48600		11000	J
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.147		0.141		0.14		0.144		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	0.781		0.807		71.8		69.2		67.3		67		< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.0670	U	< 0.0670	U	< 0.067	U
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l					0.0020474		0.0020376		0.0020802		0.0021493											
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	3.33	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			GZW-9-2 11/14/2019		HA-09 11/13/2019		HA-09 11/13/2019		HA-11 11/13/2019		HA-11 11/13/2019		HB-10 11/13/2019		HB-10 11/13/2019		HB-10S 11/12/2019		HB-10S 11/12/2019		HB-11 11/12/2019				
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden			
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																						
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	27.8	J	86.1		486		143		808		< 19.3	U	28.8	J	34.4	J	125		48	J		
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U																
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	2.27	J	2.18	J	8.57		9.76		< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	17.2		17.7		< 2.00	U		
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	15		2.77	J	5.16		12.5		14.7		27.4		27.8		30.7		32.8		14			
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U																
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U																
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	19500		4940		5070		2480		2770		10600		11000		< 80.0		< 8810	U	< 80.0	NJ		
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U																
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	4.21		< 0.300	U	0.321	J	2.97		3.36		7.3		7.85		17.7		17.4		2.38	2.31		
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	0.921	J	0.437	J	< 2.00	U	< 0.300	U	< 2.00	U	1.09	J	< 2.00	U	0.523	J	1.26	J	1.83	J		
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	656		106		596		7790		9240		229		333		14200		14900		73.4	J		
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	0.591	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U										
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	5200		854		1000		798		1000		2630		2710		2310		2210		2340	J		
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	1120		1.64	J	7.9		261		295		351		378		832		791		374			
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	0.413	J	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 1.00	U	1.9		2		4.49		4.71		1.83	1.89		
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	2.77		< 0.600	U	0.891	J	0.702	J	1.18	J	1.59	J	1.63	J	< 3.25	U	3.45		5.87	5.93		
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	2940		785		946		728		880		3050		3110		3060		3190		1760		1750	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U																
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U																
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	10500	J	4990		5050		4780		5110		16700		16800		12500		12800		9790		8920	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U																
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U																
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U																
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	0.0709	J	< 0.067	U	0.13	J	0.0826	J	0.184	J+	< 0.200	U	0.231		0.201		0.323		0.185	J		
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l																						
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	7.82	J	8.48	J	< 3.30	U	4.09	J	3.33	J	< 3.30	U	4.41	J	3.79	J	4.23	J		
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	4.52	J	< 3.30	U	3.57	J	< 3.30	U	5.09	J	4.69	J	< 3.30	U								

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				HB-12 11/11/2019		HB-12 11/11/2019		HB-620 11/11/2019		HB-620 (dup) 11/11/2019		HB-620 11/11/2019		HB-620 (dup) 11/11/2019		HBPZ-2R 11/11/2019		HBPZ-2R 11/11/2019		ML-1-1 11/13/2019		ML-1-1 11/13/2019		ML-1-2 11/18/2019	
	Sample Date	Fraction	Formation	D	T	D	T	D	T	D	T	D	T	D	T	D	T	D	T	D	T	D	T		
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																						
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	236	J	203	J	50.4	J	55.1	J	28.9	J	241		< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	192	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	1.51	J	1.58	J	< 1.00	U		
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.02	J
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	3.5	J	3.38	J	15.9	J	16.4	J	12.8	J	13.3	J	3.08	J	3.77	J	11.6		12.2		17.2	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	17200		17800		20000		21800	J	18200		17900	J	17400		18400		16500		17200		30300	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	0.387	J	0.383	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.818	J	0.796	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.616	J
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	5.75		6.2		1.14	J	0.963	J	0.346	J	0.427	J	13.9		16.5		0.676	J	< 2.00	U	3.08	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	U	51.2	J	197	J	146	J	55	J	48.9	J	37.9	J	311		< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	319	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	0.773	J
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	7480		6810		5170	J	5260	J	4510	J	4460	J	5910	J	5110	J	4230		4330		7510	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	2.87	J	3.26	J	4.74	J	4.17	J	1.28	J	1.18	J	14		15.3		< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	54.6	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	56		56.5		3.12		2.96		3.38		3.32		275	J	211	J	0.665	J	0.668	J	< 1.00	U
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	< 2.00	U	1.35	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	0.784	J	0.73	J	< 2.51	U	2.66		< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	1.57	J
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	2240		2190		2820		2980	J	2620		2560	J	2740		2670		2520		2640		3560	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U		
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	18800		19100		18400	J	18700	J	15800	J	15300	J	17700		17600		14400		14500		24300	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	0.86		0.888		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	2.15		2.28		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	432		440		0.186	J	0.169	J	0.0798	J	0.0721	J	1050		1130		< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	0.244	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l	0.0019907		0.0020182										0.0020476		0.0020177							
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 20.0	U	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	4.38	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	8.38	J

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			ML-1-2 11/18/2019		ML-3-1 11/19/2019		ML-3-1 11/19/2019		MW-1 11/14/2019		MW-1 (dup) 11/14/2019		MW-1 11/14/2019		MW-1 (dup) 11/14/2019		MW-2 11/11/2019		MW-2 11/11/2019		MW-8A 11/13/2019	
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																			
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	578		< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	43.1	J	< 19.3	U	46.9	J	28.2	J	22.4	J	58.6	< 19.3	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	2.72	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	3.17	J	< 2.00	U	
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	19.8		4.64		4.74		14.5		13.7		14.2		14		15.2		15.3	9.24	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	0.445	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	31500		7990		7930		10100		10100		9740		9810		11500		10700	17200	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	1.04		< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	1.83		1.82		1.81		1.78		0.366	J	0.322	J	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	21.1		0.681	J	0.582	J	1.21	J	1.08	J	0.989	J	0.966	J	0.913	J	0.445	J	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	862		< 33.0	U	34.2	J	39.1	J	< 33.0	U	54.1	J	33	J	81.3	J	283	< 33.0	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	5.34		3.22		< 0.500	U	0.737	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	7790		2120		2100		2670		2730		2600		2620		3790		3470	3980	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	113		6.96		34.6		6.13		4.28	J	11.1	J	7.18	J	3.05	J	3.24	J	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	9.69		12.2	J	8.77		9.89	J	0.603	J	0.539	J	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	2.4		0.75	J	0.785	J	1.87	J	1.94	J	1.78	J	1.94	J	< 2.00	U	1.39	J	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	3710		2230		2200		2100		2030		2040		2020		2550		2440	2620	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.374	J	
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	24600		9160		9160		94500	J	86400	J	94700	J	90300	J	12600		12100	20400	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 2.00	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 2.00	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	1.11	J	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.437		
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	0.963		< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	0.231		0.168	J	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l																	0.0020046	0.0021640	
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	8.09	J	6.68	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	34.9		< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	4.56	J	

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-S01 11/15/2019	MW-S01 11/15/2019	MW-S02 11/14/2019	MW-S02 (dup) 11/14/2019	MW-S02 (dup) 11/14/2019	MW-S03 11/12/2019	MW-S03 11/12/2019	MW-S04 11/13/2019	MW-S04 11/13/2019	MW-S06 11/14/2019
Sample Date	Fraction	Formation	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	T Overburden
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit									
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	28.8	J	< 19.3	U	25.9	J	23.5
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	25.5		25.3		8.89		9.05		9.39
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	21200		22000		11600		11700		12200
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	5.61	J	8.58	J	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	7.92		7.97		0.443	J	0.445	J	0.457
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	8.52		9.23		18		19.4		22.1
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	U	52.1	J	< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	< 33.0
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	6400		6440		1460		1470		1520
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	1.02	J	1.69	J	1.92	J	1.93	J	2.32
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	2.36		2.49		0.263	J	0.274	J	0.265
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	5.51		5.51		0.889	J	0.935	J	0.917
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	2550		2550		2100		2130		2180
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	48100		49700		24500	J	24700	J	25400
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.0101	J	< 0.010
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	0.409		0.465		3.67		3.42		4.23
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l									
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				MW-S06 11/14/2019		MW-S07 11/11/2019		MW-S07 11/11/2019		MW-S08 11/13/2019		MW-S08 11/13/2019		MW-S09 (dup) 11/12/2019		MW-S09 11/12/2019		MW-S09 (dup) 11/12/2019		MW-S11 11/13/2019		
	Sample Date	Fraction	Formation	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden									
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																			
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	27.1	J	88.5		685		394		366		104		73.9		742		827		< 19.3
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	1.16	J	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U							
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	2.57	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U							
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	15.6		24.3		27.6		6.06		10.1		16.5		16		22		21.2		6.19
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U									
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U									
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	18700		21400		20700		3460		3330		15700		15500		16300		15300		2630
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U									
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.343	J	< 0.300	U	0.848	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	1.51		1.65		
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 2.00	U	0.788	J	2.26		0.795	J	< 2.00	U	0.693	J	0.421	J	1.59	J	1.39	J	< 0.300
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	U	97	J	714		< 33.0	U	497		131		100		1130		1140		< 33.0
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	0.596	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	0.502	J	< 0.500	U	
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	4610		5470		5220		515		552		4000	J	3860	J	3770		3390	J	727
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	1.81	J	3.28	J	12.4		32.2		357		2.85	J	2.6	J	19.4	J	20.2		1.83
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 0.200	U	0.222	J	< 0.200	U	< 1.00	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	0.947	J	4.18		4.8		1.11	J	1.43	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.6		2.16		< 0.600
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	2870		3550		3520		6890	J	6060	J	2780		2930		3140		3140		2530
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U									
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U									
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	20900		21900		21900		27100		27400		40700	J	41000	J	39300	J	36600	J	2900
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	0.926	J	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	0.892	J	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U									
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U									
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	0.147	J	0.738		1.2		< 0.067	U	< 0.212	U	0.0706	J	0.101	J	0.257		0.277		< 0.067
<i>Calc U-235/U-238</i>			ug/l																			
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	8.92	J	4.22	J	4.56	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	5.92
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	3.73	J	4.6	J	6.99	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	3.92	J	3.63	J	< 3.30

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-S12 11/14/2019	MW-S12 (dup) 11/14/2019		MW-S12 11/14/2019	MW-S12 (dup) 11/14/2019		MW-S14 11/12/2019	MW-S14 11/12/2019		MW-S15 11/15/2019	MW-S15 11/15/2019		MW-S16 11/12/2019	MW-S16 (dup) 11/12/2019	
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	D Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit														
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	45.2	J	45.1	J	220		230		125		3160		185	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	13.7		14		14.5		14.8		2.88	J	25.7		580	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	0.213	J	< 0.200	U
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	3630		3700		3620		3630		< 80.0		< 6040	U	58600	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	5.63	J	3.21	J
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	2.11		12.3	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 2.00	U	0.316	J	4.41		1.49	J
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	35.3	J	46.7	J	95.1	J	3220		476	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	1.9	J	< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	925		966		952		957		1470		2180		12500	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	1.51	J	1.64	J	2.95	J	2.92	J	3.31	J	57.9		1080	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	5.53		12.5	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	2390		2390		2430		2390		781		1630		6540	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	7210		7150		7350		7090		6830		6690		452000	J
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	0.95	J	< 0.700	U
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.0670	U	0.748		< 0.067	U
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l													0.0020679	
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	3.61	J	5.56	J	< 20.0	U	< 20.0	U	3.83	J	6.96	J	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	4.75	J	10.9	J	8.27	J
																94.3	
																103	
																100	
																0.0021068	
																0.0021000	
																5.07	J
																4.38	J

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				MW-S16 (dup)		MW-S17		MW-S17		MW-S18		MW-S18		MW-S19		MW-S19		MW-S20		MW-S20		MW-S21			
	Sample Date	Fraction	Formation	11/12/2019		11/12/2019		11/12/2019		11/11/2019		11/11/2019		11/11/2019		11/11/2019		11/12/2019		11/12/2019		11/14/2019			
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden	D	Overburden		
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	130	J	29	J	119		361		345		20.9	J	106		111		982		< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	1.09	J	1.17	J	< 1.00	U														
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	15.1		15.9		< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 8.17	U	8.72		< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	5.66		20.7		21.6		20.2		20.4		3.95	J	4.21		20.2		24		1.59	J	1.74	J
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U		
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	17900		21900		22600		29500		29200		2630		2320		29800		28700		3530		3640	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	3.77	J	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	1.76		1.64		< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	1.44		2.15		< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	24.5		0.681	J	0.408	J	1.48	J	2.89		0.549	J	0.32	J	< 0.300	U	2.09		0.533	J	< 0.300	U
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	149	J	47.6	J	178		6290		6090		< 33.0	U	108		13200		13700		< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	0.827	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	3720	J	5940	J	5290	J	1060		1040		306		295		1250		1370		576		576	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	5.03		1.37	J	3.89	J	240		234		< 1.00	U	1.89	J	549	J	489	J	< 1.00	U	1.95	J
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	3.71		< 0.200	U	< 1.00	U	36.7		36.6		< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	1.02		1.23		< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	1.84	J	< 2.00	U	1.19	J	5.09		4.85		< 2.26	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	1.74	J	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	2790		3060		3060		2120		2220		8880		8520		1760		1920		557		570	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U		
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	25300		24300		24400		1700		1850		2770		2710		6500		6420		3190		3140	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U		
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U		
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	0.201		< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U								
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	98.8		0.296		0.46		0.848		0.849		< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	0.311		0.483		< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U
<i>Calc U-235/U-238</i>			ug/l	0.0020344																					
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 20.0	U	3.56	J	< 20.0	U	5.19	J	< 20.0	U	5.54	J	4.45	J	3.93	J
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	5.57	J	< 3.30	U	3.61	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U												

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				MW-S22 11/13/2019	MW-S22 11/13/2019	MW-S23 11/13/2019	MW-S23 11/13/2019	MW-S24 11/13/2019	MW-S24 11/13/2019	MW-S26 11/15/2019	MW-S26 11/15/2019	MW-S27 11/13/2019	MW-S27 11/13/2019	MW-S28 11/14/2019	MW-S28 11/14/2019
	Sample Date	Fraction	Formation	D Overburden	T Overburden										
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit												
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	273		2240		71.7		2030		42.1	J	169	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	1.15	J								
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	3.6	J	3.38	J	5.89		< 2.00	U	2.32	J
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	15.4		28.2		15.8		26.6		7.96		8.86	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	0.25	J	< 0.200	U						
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	0.628	J	0.69	J						
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	8600		9040		4520		4860		22300		21500	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	4.98	J	< 3.00	U	17		< 3.00	U	3.54	J
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	0.863	J	8.83		0.543	J	2.03		1.05		1.33	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	1.03	J	4.36		0.953	J	3.34		28.1		34.2	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	305		2590		67	J	2550		59.9	J	276	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	1.51	J	< 0.500	U	1.01	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	1820		2430		367		947		9580		11500	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	20.4		183		3.98	J	48.6		23.4		30.8	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 1.00	U	0.455	J	1.63		356		373	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	2.9		5.56		0.948	J	4.81		2.05		2.48	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	4230		4460		10500		9670		2670		2940	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U										
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U										
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	24700		26900		44500		46800		19100		20600	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U										
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	0.74	J	< 0.700	U	0.957	J	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	5.06		5.35							
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	0.429		0.0738	J	0.441		2360		2670	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l							0.0021441		0.0020037			
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	14	J	4.78	J	13.6	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	7.98	J	27.4		35.6		4.45	J	5.28	J

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-S29 11/13/2019	MW-S29 11/13/2019		MW-S30 11/15/2019	MW-S30 11/15/2019		MW-S32 11/13/2019	MW-S32 11/13/2019		MW-S35 11/12/2019	MW-S35 11/12/2019		MW-S36 11/11/2019	MW-S36 11/11/2019		MW-S37 11/13/2019
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit															
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	25.2	J	165		< 19.3	U	37.1	J	< 19.3	U	597		< 19.3	UJ	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	1.14	J	1.2	J	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	3.01	J	2.76	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 5.00	U	< 2.00	U	
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	4.53		4.9		16.3		16.9		15.8		20		121		
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.402	J	
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	5250		5360		21000		20500		17400		18200		85700		
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	8.67		9.07		< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	1.73		2.81		< 0.300	U	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 2.00	U	0.341	J	< 0.300	U	0.789	J	2.16		0.395	J	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	U	141		< 33.0	U	50.6	J	35.8	J	855		< 33.0	UJ	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	0.661	J	< 0.500	U	
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	812		877		5520		5550		5770		6050		15500		
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	1.21	J	3.18	J	< 1.00	U	1.38	J	< 1.00	U	19.5		1.86	J	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	0.337	J	0.384	J	< 0.200	U	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	1.22	J	1.23	J	1.13	J	1.2	J	1.28	J	2.49		< 2.74	U	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	2670		2890		3000		3050		3380		3730		6080		
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	15300		16200		21100		20400		11500		11600		161000		
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	0.0677	J	< 0.067	U	0.164	J	< 0.0670	U	
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l															
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	4.44	J	5.27	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	3.87	J	4.09	J	
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	3.83	J	< 3.30	U	
																6.04	J	
																6.29	J	
																< 3.30	U	
																< 3.30	U	

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				MW-S37 11/13/2019		MW-S38 11/13/2019		MW-S38 11/13/2019		MW-S39 11/14/2019		MW-S39 11/14/2019		MW-S40 11/14/2019		MW-S40 11/14/2019		MW-SD01 11/14/2019		MW-SD01 11/14/2019		MW-SD02 11/12/2019	
	Sample Date	Fraction	Formation	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden														
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																				
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	1380		< 19.3	U	49.7	J	164	J	< 19.3	UJ	25.5	J	47.4	J	< 19.3	U	219			
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U														
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	3.51	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 5.00	U												
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	23.2		26.7		27.4		39.1		37.4		24.5		25.8		15.3		16		21.3	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U														
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	0.469	J	0.613	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.453	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	27600		27900		29400		28700		28600		25300		25700		14400		14200		19700	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U														
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	2.11		14.3		15.9		5.09		4.94		0.816	J	0.895	J	2.02		2.16		< 0.300	U
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	2.06		0.882	J	< 2.00	U	0.577	J	< 0.300	U	0.618	J	< 2.00	U	2.47		3.27		2.56	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	1710		< 33.0	U	74.3	J	196	J	< 33.0	UJ	1260		1560		< 33.0	U	292		< 33.0	UJ
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	0.837	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U												
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	6330		7010		7250		5130		5180		5390		5430		2970		3030		7410	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	93.8		3760		3830		10.7	J	7.05	J	15100		16200		2.36	J	7.86		3.78	J
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 1.00	U	0.211	J	0.201	J	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	25.9		25.1		< 0.200	U
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	2.92		19.4		20.6		3.65	J	3.26	J	7.19		8		1.13	J	1.36	J	< 2.05	U
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	5680		4200		4460		5900		5790		4080		4130		3370		3360		3350	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U														
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U														
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	29400		17600		18400		84400		83400		24000		24500		25900		25200		30300	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U														
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	0.836	J	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U												
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	0.0162	J	0.017	J	< 0.0100	U												
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	0.808	J+	< 0.200	U	0.146	J	0.114	J	0.0819	J	< 0.067	U	0.11	J	7.24		7.83		< 0.0670	U
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l														0.0022376		0.0021711				
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	4.9	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	4.33	J												
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	5.18	J	3.68	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	4.5	J	11.1	J								

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-SD06 11/14/2019	MW-SD06 11/14/2019	MW-SD10 11/12/2019	MW-SD10 11/12/2019	MW-SD13 11/12/2019	MW-SD13 11/12/2019	MW-SD17 11/12/2019	MW-SD17 11/12/2019	MW-SD26 11/18/2019	MW-SD26 11/18/2019	MW-SD27 11/12/2019
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden								
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit										
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	124		< 19.3	UJ	209		112	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	1.1	J						
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 5.00	U	2.07	J	< 2.00	
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	35.4		35.8		12.2		12.6		8.1	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U								
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U								
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	29900		30100		13800		13600		22100	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U								
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	0.641	J	0.773	J	< 0.300	U	0.409	J	0.583	J
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	2.02		2.57		0.351	J	0.511	J	1.97	J
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	U	188		< 33.0	UJ	234		114	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U								
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	10000		10100		2810		2880		3320	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	2.07	J	5.48		< 1.00	U	4.25	J	3.86	J
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	4.19	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	1.88	J	1.91	J	0.86	J	1.26	J	< 2.00	U
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	5670		5770		1830		1860		2500	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U								
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U								
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	23900		23400		20800		22500		16900	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U								
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U								
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	1.15		1.22		< 0.0670	U	0.0805	J	< 0.0670	U
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l										
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	5.14	J	3.32	J	< 20.0	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	3.72	J	< 3.30	U	7.89	J	< 3.30	U

**Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts**

Sample Location				MW-SD27 11/12/2019		MW-SD29 11/14/2019		MW-SD29 11/14/2019		MW-SD30 11/12/2019		MW-SD30 11/12/2019		MW-SD32 11/15/2019		MW-SD32 11/15/2019		MW-SD34 11/14/2019		MW-SD34 11/14/2019		MW-SD35 11/13/2019			
Sample Date				T		D		T		D		T		D		T		D		T		D			
Fraction				Overburden		Overburden		Overburden		Overburden		Overburden		Overburden		Overburden		Overburden		Overburden		Overburden			
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																						
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	UJ	< 19.3	U	382		< 19.3	UJ	51.9		2050		< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	41.3	J	685			
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U		
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.15	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	5.05		2.48	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.34	J
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	21.7		11.3		13		20		19.2		14.7		28.8		26.4		27		51.9		54	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	0.306	J	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U		
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	26600		21800		21800		22700		22600		14200		14800		106000	J	88800	J	32100		30500	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	4.4	J	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	0.329	J	0.731	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	2.99		4.59	J	3.08	J	1.11		1.57	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	0.495	J	< 2.00	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.621	J	5.58		< 0.300	U	< 2.00	U	0.922	J	< 2.00	U
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	UJ	< 33.0	U	471		< 33.0	UJ	< 33.0	UJ	77.2	J	2450		6030		4090		17900		17900	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	1.58	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	0.683	J
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	5830		6860		7140		5950	J	4990	J	3890		4190		33900	J	26200	J	11600		10600	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	< 1.00	U	3.28	J	15.2		< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	5.44		102		715	J	437	J	772	J	758	J
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	19.1		18.2		< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	0.25	J	0.518	J	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	0.358	J	< 1.00	U
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	0.96	J	1.21	J	1.46	J	9.36		9.39		1.95	J	4.7		8.81	J	7.45	J	0.796	J	1.65	J
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	3380		3520		3550		2970		2870		2500		3180		10700		10300		6290		5710	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U		
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	30500		31300		31700		31700		28900		12100		12400		39100	J	34700	J	13400		13500	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U		
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U		
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.0100	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.0865		0.0953		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.0670	U	< 0.067	U	0.0748	J	< 0.0670	U	< 0.0670	U	< 0.067	U	0.283		11.8		12.8		< 0.067	U	< 0.200	U
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l														0.0073305		0.0074453						
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	4.49	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	7.84	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-SD36 11/18/2019	MW-SD36 (dup) 11/18/2019	MW-SD36 11/18/2019	MW-SD36 (dup) 11/18/2019	MW-SD37 11/18/2019	MW-SD37 11/18/2019	MW-SD38 11/15/2019	MW-SD38 11/15/2019	MW-SD39 11/15/2019	MW-SD39 11/15/2019	MW-SD40 11/14/2019
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	D Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit										
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	234		231		2350		2160		< 19.3	U
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	2.9	J	2.86	J	5.86		5.47		2.34	J
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	61.9		59.1		62.4		61		26.5	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	47200		45300		48800		47000		30400	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	1.54		1.62	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	0.351	J	1.1	J	0.892	J	0.323	J
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	13700		13300		33200		30000		2990	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	10900		10600		13500		13200		9920	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	587		570		988		941		227	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	0.926	J	0.944	J	< 1.03	U	0.912	J	0.387	J
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	0.736	J	0.744	J	5.32	
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	6570		6520		6580		6410		4710	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	20000		19700		19500		19100		15500	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	0.0853	J	0.0811	J+
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l										
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	3.51	J	< 3.30	U

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-SD40 (dup)	MW-SD40	MW-SD40 (dup)	MW-SD41	MW-SD41 (dup)	MW-SD41	MW-SD42A	MW-SD42A	MW-SD43	MW-SD43
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	11/14/2019	11/14/2019	11/14/2019	11/15/2019	11/15/2019	11/15/2019	11/15/2019	11/15/2019	11/15/2019	11/15/2019
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	54
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.15
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	58.4		58.3		59		10.7		11.1
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	35100		34400		34700		23300		23800
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	J	4.01	J	4.65
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	1.04		1.1		1.08		2.38		2.45
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	0.353	J	< 0.300	U	0.335	J	0.415
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	36.7	J	65.6	J	62.6	J	129		132
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	14500		14300		14500		7760		8050
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	222		221		226		55.9		56.9
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	0.412	J	0.397
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	2.52		2.41		2.38		3.83		4.36
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	9510		10100		9510		3300		3380
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	15800	J	15700	J	15900	J	18600	J	19100
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	0.502		0.496		0.523		0.448		0.446
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l									
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			MW-SD44 11/15/2019	MW-SD44 11/15/2019	MW-SD45 11/14/2019	MW-SD45 11/14/2019	MW-SD46 11/18/2019	MW-SD46 (dup) 11/18/2019	MW-SD46 11/18/2019	MW-SD46 (dup) 11/18/2019	MW-SM13 11/11/2019	MW-SM13 11/11/2019	MW-SM46 11/15/2019
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit										
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	1090		< 19.3	U	33.9	J	29.7	J
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	1.46	J	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	3.26	J	12		< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.12	J
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	46.9		115		20.9		19.8		68.4	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	0.514		< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	22700		23500		25300		23100		28700	J
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	2.5		3.19		4.86		4.49		3.38	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	2.2		0.471	J	< 2.00	U	0.33	J
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	5180		10800		< 33.0	U	63.5	J	3490	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	1.84	J	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	8470		8570		6920		6410		9860	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	309		327		1590		1640		148	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	0.58	J	0.699	J	< 0.200	U	0.549	J	0.469	J
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	5.03		7.41		7.39	J	6.51	J	< 0.600	U
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	7890		8220		4150		3780		6380	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	18300		18400		24500		22300		24200	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	0.796	J	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	0.099	J	0.562		0.118	J	0.131	J	< 0.067	U
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l										
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	3.97	J	12	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location				MW-SM46 11/15/2019		MW-T10 11/14/2019		MW-T10 11/14/2019		MW-T24 11/13/2019		MW-T24 11/13/2019		OW-2 11/11/2019		OW-2 11/11/2019		OW-3 11/13/2019		OW-3 11/13/2019		P-1 11/18/2019		P-1 11/18/2019	
	Sample Date	Fraction	Formation	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden	D	Overburden	T	Overburden
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																						
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	123		112		3300		457		465		< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U		
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	2.88	J	12.8		13		< 2.00	U	3.29	J	< 2.00	U	2.26	J	2.04	J	2.64	J	2.31	J	2.21	J
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	58.1		5.29		6.03		92.3		106		97.2		92.8		36.6		37.8		14.4		14.7	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	0.41	J	0.394	J	0.497	J	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	28600		12800		12500		149000		165000		14600		13800		7360		8030		33200		34300	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	3.18	J	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	6.97	J	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U						
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	0.788	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	1.37		2.92		0.605	J	0.568	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	0.34	J	< 0.300	U	< 2.00	U	1.52	J	12.5		0.519	J	0.374	J	< 0.300	U	< 2.00	U	0.423	J	0.396	J
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	10200		68.1	J	211		122		3830		< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	135		< 33.0	U	44.9	J
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	4.6		< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U						
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	11000		4160		4040		35300		35100		3170		3130		1400		1520		8930		9100	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	193		249		287		1270		1460		81.8		77.8		1.75	J	2.12	J	1.1	J	4.44	J
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	12.2		11.2		7.03		6.87		< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	0.423	J	< 1.00	U	0.28	J	0.275	J
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	3.69		< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	3.01		6.38		5.19		4.73		< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	1.1	J	1.05	J
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	4690		1910		1860		7060		7170		6940		7000		2280		2480		3230		3290	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	22400	J	12900		12500		80000		88800		87000		97000		135000		136000		17500		17700	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	0.78	J	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U						
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	0.0673	J	0.139		< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U						
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	0.0763	J	25.9		59.7		0.0781	J	0.0774	J	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	0.0863	J+	0.132	J+
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l							0.0025985		0.0023283													
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	9.18	J	< 20.0	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	11.6	J	39		3.41	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	&					

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			P-1A 11/15/2019	P-1A 11/15/2019		P-2 11/15/2019	P-2 11/15/2019		P-3 11/15/2019	P-3 11/15/2019		P-4 11/13/2019	P-4 11/13/2019	
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	16.9		15.5		15.9		16.1		15.2		
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	27000		25600		17800		18000		18600		
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	3.51	J	3.43	J	< 3.00	U	3.52	J	3.38	J	
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	0.514	J	0.497	J	0.481	J	0.346	J	0.591	J	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	< 33.0	U	54.4	J	< 33.0	U	142	J	53.3	J	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	3.77		6.53		< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	6160		5760		4430		4480		5040		
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	12.1		12.7		< 1.00	U	1.72	J	< 1.00	U	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	0.876	J	0.976	J	0.41	J	
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	2.84		2.68		0.985	J	0.934	J	2.41		
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	3340		3150		2990		2990		3170		
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	27200	J	25700	J	36700		36800	J	34800	J	
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	
<i>Calc U-235/U-238</i>			ug/l											
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	3.59	J	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	3.52	J	

Table 2.3 - Total and Dissolved Metals - Sitewide Sampling Results - November 2019
Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site - West Concord, Massachusetts

Sample Location			PT-09 11/18/2019		PT-09 11/18/2019		PT-11P 11/13/2019		PT-11P 11/13/2019		PW-6 11/13/2019		PW-6 (dup) 11/13/2019		PW-6 11/13/2019		PW-6 (dup) 11/13/2019		SW-1 11/14/2019		
	Sample Date	Fraction Formation	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	D Overburden	T Overburden	
Analyte	Cas No.	Limit	Unit																		
Aluminum	7429-90-5		ug/l	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U	< 19.3	U		
Antimony	7440-36-0		ug/l	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U		
Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	ug/l	2.18	J	2.38	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	2.06	J		
Barium	7440-39-3	2000	ug/l	26.7		25.7		36.1		34.4		28.6		27.8		26.5		27.3		23.8	
Beryllium	7440-41-7		ug/l	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U		
Cadmium	7440-43-9		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U		
Calcium	7440-70-2		ug/l	18000		18400		24300		24200		23600		24200		22900		23200		21400	
Chromium	7440-47-3	100	ug/l	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U	< 3.00	U
Cobalt	7440-48-4	6.0	ug/l	1.47		1.47		0.679	J	0.683	J	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Copper	7440-50-8	1300	ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	0.701	J	< 2.00	U	0.537	J	0.656	J	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	67.4	
Iron	7439-89-6	14000	ug/l	2250		2350		< 33.0	U	< 33.0	U	3140		2790		6080		4880		2850	
Lead	7439-92-1		ug/l	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	< 0.500	U	2.67		2.46		6.63		6.52		< 0.500	U
Magnesium	7439-95-4		ug/l	6060		5870		5790		5960		5830		5930		5380		5520		5790	
Manganese	7439-96-5	300	ug/l	358		344		< 1.00	U	< 1.00	U	121		124		137		134		94.5	
Molybdenum	7439-98-7	100	ug/l	< 0.200	U	0.211	J	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.200	U	< 1.00	U	< 0.200	U	0.49	J
Nickel	7440-02-0		ug/l	2.69	J	2.36	J	2.68		2.64		1.37	J	1.14	J	1.21	J	1.14	J	1.01	J
Potassium	7440-09-7		ug/l	3910		3800		3990		4170		2990	J	3100		2720	J	2770		3060	
Selenium	7782-49-2		ug/l	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U	< 2.00	U
Silver	7440-22-4		ug/l	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U	< 0.300	U
Sodium	7440-23-5		ug/l	13700		14300		98600		99600		26600		27100		27000		27700		28300	J
Thallium	7440-28-0		ug/l	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U	< 0.600	U
Thorium	7440-29-1	0.33	ug/l	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U	< 0.700	U
Uranium-235	15117-96-1	30	ug/l	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U	< 0.010	U
Uranium-238	7440-61-2	50	ug/l	< 0.067	U	0.0766	J	< 0.200	U	0.107	J	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.200	U	< 0.067	U	< 0.067	U
Calc U-235/U-238			ug/l																		
Vanadium	7440-62-2		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U
Zinc	7440-66-6		ug/l	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	< 3.30	U	427		429		476		466		< 3.30	U

Notes:

J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.

U The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.

UJ The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.

(dup) Duplicate sample.


μg/l Micrograms per liter.


Limit Based on EPA MCL in Overburden and Bedrock except for Thorium (ILCR value), Cobalt (HI value), Copper (Overburden Action Level) Barium MCL is Bedrock only. Shaded cells exceed limit, apply to Totals.


Bold Analyte detected above the laboratory reporting limit (detect).

T Total metal concentration.

D Dissolved metal concentration.








Legend

- Monitoring Well
- Extraction Well
- Active Public Water Supply Well
- Wetlands
- Surface Water
- Site Boundary
- Former Building Concrete Foundation
- Building Outline

- Uranium ISO Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- Estimated Uranium ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ug/L
- Uranium Contour in Overburden Groundwater, November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)



Legend

- Bedrock Monitoring Well
- Site Boundary
- Building Outline
- - - Former Building Concrete Foundation
- Bedrock Groundwater Flow Direction Inferred from November 2019 Groundwater Elevations
- Surface Water
- Wetlands
- Uranium ISO Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- Estimated Uranium ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- Estimated 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

0.57 1,4-Dioxane Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

76.9 Uranium Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

Note:

- The uranium concentrations shown represent total uranium. Uranium in bedrock groundwater is characterized as isotopically natural ($\text{U-235} > 0.6\%$).
- $<$ = less than laboratory method detection limit.
- J = estimated detection below method quantitation limit.

DRAFT

N


0
220
Feet


Uranium and 1,4-Dioxane Concentrations in Bedrock Groundwater - November 2019

Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site
Concord, Massachusetts

Geosyntec consultants
de maximis, inc.

Figure
2.7
Acton, Massachusetts June 2020





Legend

- Bedrock Monitoring Well
- Proposed Open Bedrock Well for Uranium Rebound Testing
- Proposed Open Bedrock Well for 1,4-Dioxane Rebound Testing
- Proposed Open Bedrock Well for 1,4-Dioxane and Uranium Rebound Testing
- Proposed Bedrock Monitoring Well
- Proposed Manual Water Level Monitoring Locations Drilling and Rebound Testing
- Site Boundary
- Wetlands
- Surface Water
- Building Outline
- Former Building Concrete Foundation
- Bedrock Groundwater Flow Direction Inferred from November 2019 Groundwater Elevations

Uranium ISO Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
 Estimated 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
 0.57 1,4-Dioxane Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
 76.9 Uranium Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

Ambient/Background Electronic Water Level Monitoring Location
 Proposed Electronic Water Level Monitoring Locations for BEW-1 Drilling and Rebound Testing
 Proposed Electronic Water Level Monitoring Locations for BEW-2 Drilling and Rebound Testing
 Proposed Electronic Water Level Monitoring Locations for BEW-3 Drilling and Rebound Testing

DRAFT

0

220


Feet

Drilling and Pumping Monitoring Locations for Bedrock Uranium Rebound Testing

Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site
Concord, Massachusetts


Geosyntec consultants
de maximis, inc.

Figure
3-4



Legend

- Bedrock Monitoring Well
- Site Boundary
- Building Outline
- - - Former Building Concrete Foundation
- Bedrock Groundwater Flow Direction Inferred from November 2019 Groundwater Elevations



- Uranium ISO Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- - - Estimated Uranium ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- - - Estimated 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

0.57 1,4-Dioxane Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

76.9 Uranium Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

Note:

1. The uranium concentrations shown represent total uranium. Uranium in bedrock groundwater is characterized as isotopically natural ($\text{U-235} > 0.6\%$).
2. $<$ = less than laboratory method detection limit.
3. J = estimated detection below method quantitation limit.

DRAFT

N


0
220
Feet

Uranium and 1,4-Dioxane Concentrations in Bedrock Groundwater - November 2019

Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site
Concord, Massachusetts

Geosyntec consultants
de maximis, inc.

Figure
6



Legend

- Bedrock Monitoring Well
- Proposed Open Bedrock Well for Uranium Rebound Testing
- Proposed Open Bedrock Well for 1,4-Dioxane Rebound Testing
- Proposed Open Bedrock Well for 1,4-Dioxane and Uranium Rebound Testing
- Proposed Bedrock Monitoring Well
- Site Boundary
- Building Outline
- - - Former Building Concrete Foundation
- Bedrock Groundwater Flow Direction Inferred from November 2019 Groundwater Elevations
- Wetlands
- Surface Water

- Cross Section B-B'
- Uranium ISO Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- Estimated Uranium ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- Estimated 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

0.57 1,4-Dioxane Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

76.9 Uranium Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

DRAFT

Proposed Bedrock Wells for Uranium Re却nd and 1,4-dioxane Delineation and Re却nd Testing

Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site
Concord, Massachusetts

Geosyntec consultants
de maximis, inc.

Figure


7

Note:
1. The uranium concentrations represent isotopically natural uranium.

0

220

Feet



-  Bedrock Monitoring Well
-  Proposed Open Bedrock Well for Uranium Rebound
-  Proposed Open Bedrock Well for 1,4-Dioxane Rebound
-  Proposed Open Bedrock Well for 1,4-Dioxane and Uranium Rebound Testing
-  Proposed Bedrock Monitoring Well
-  Proposed Manual Water Level Monitoring Locations Drilling and Rebound Testing

The legend consists of eight entries, each with a colored or patterned square followed by a label:

- Site Boundary (black box)
- Wetlands (green box)
- Surface (light blue box)
- Building (solid black line)
- Former Building (dashed black line)
- Concrete (grey box)
- Bedrock Groundwater Flow Direction Inferred from November 2019 (blue arrow pointing right)
- Groundwater Elevations (blue arrow pointing right)

Legend:

- Uranium ISO Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration on Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- Estimated 1,4-Dioxane ISO Concentration Contour in Bedrock November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)

Concentrations:

- 0.57** 1,4-Dioxane Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)
- 76.9** Uranium Concentrations November 2019 ($\mu\text{g/L}$)



- Ambient/Background Electronic Water Level Monitoring Location
- Proposed Electronic Water Level Monitoring Locations for BEW-4 Drilling and Rebound Testing
- Proposed Electronic Water Level Monitoring Locations for BEW-5 Drilling and Rebound Testing
- Proposed Electronic Water Level Monitoring Locations for BEW-6 Drilling and Rebound Testing

Drilling and Pumping Monitoring Locations for Bedrock 1,4-Dioxane Rebound Testing

Nuclear Metals, Inc. Superfund Site Concord, Massachusetts

Geosyntec ▶ consultants  
de maximis. inc.

Figure

9

DRAFT

0

1

1

1

220

A

Section

Mass

ach

setts

1

1

June

0

9

Massachusetts June 2020

June 2020

9

achusetts June 2020